#### Influence of the Magnetic Decay Index Spatial Distribution on the Kinematics of the Solar Eruptive Prominence

<u>Myshyakov I.<sup>1</sup></u>, Tsvetkov Ts.<sup>2</sup>, Petrov N.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics, SB RAS, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Astronomy and National Astronomical Observatory, BAS, Bulgaria

XVIth Hvar Astrophysical Colloquium 24 – 28 September 2018 Hvar, Croatia

# Outline

•Consider sequence of SDO/AIA images of an eruptive prominence prior and during its eruption in order to determine height of the prominence when it had lost the equilibrium

•Perform extrapolation of the coronal magnetic field

•Based on the obtained results investigate whether configuration of the coronal magnetic field could lead to the prominence eruption

#### Prominence equilibrium model

Kuperus, M. and M.A. Raadu, 1974, Astron&Astrophys., 31: 1189-193



Van Tend W. and M. Kuperus, 1978, Solar Phys., 59: 115-127



j – current density

**F**<sub>j</sub> – Lorentz force, produced by mirror current

**F**<sub>Bex</sub> – Lorentz force, produced by external magnetic field

**B**<sub>ex</sub> – external magnetic field

## **Torus instability**

Kliem B. and T. Torok, 2006, Physical Review Letters, 96:255002-1 - 255002-4



### Magnetic decay index

Van Tend W. and M. Kuperus, 1978, Solar Phys., 59: 115-127

Kliem B. and T Torok, 2006, Physical Review Letters, 96:255002-1 - 255002-4

$$n = -\frac{\partial ln(\mathbf{B}_{ex})}{\partial ln(h)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{B}_{ex} - \text{external transverse magnetic field,} \\ h & - \text{height above the photosphere} \end{array}$$

Critical values:

- *n* = 1 for a straight, linear electric current
- *n* = **1.5** for an electric current along curved axis (torus instability)

#### **Eruptive prominence**



## Magnetic field reconstruction

Green's function based potential extrapolation in spherical geometry.

SDO/HMI vector magnetograms with resolved pi-ambiguity, several days before the eruption.

Computational domain had sizes [720 x 540 x 300] *Mm*, grid spatial resolution about 3 *Mm*.

## Images by SDO, 23 February 0:00 UT



SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetogram

#### SDO/AIA 304 Å

## Neutral line over HMI/AIA 304 Å image, 23 February 0:00 UT



White contour – boundaries of the computational domain Yellow contour – reconstructed neutral line

## Neutral line over HMI/AIA 304 Å image, 24 February 0:00 UT



White contour – boundaries of the computational domain Yellow contour – reconstructed neutral line

## Neutral line over HMI/AIA 304 Å image, 25 February 0:00 UT



White contour – boundaries of the computational domain Yellow contour – reconstructed neutral line

#### Magnetic decay index



#### Magnetic decay index, 25 February 0:00 UT





Green contour marks decay index level *n* = **1.5** 

Acceleration started when apex of the prominence reached height of **180** – **190** *Mm* 

Transverse size of the prominence, estimated from SDO/AIA 304 Å image was up to **100** Mm

#### Magnetic decay index, higher altitudes



#### Magnetic decay index, 25 February 0:00 UT





Green contour marks decay index level *n* = **1.5** Red contour marks decay index level *n* = **2.0** 

Torok T. and B. Kliem, 2007, Astron. Nachr., 328, 8, 743-746

CME's acceleration depends on steepness of the field decrease.

http://cdaw.gsfc.nasa.gov/CME\_list\_CME speed = 622.4 km/s

## Conclusion

•Height of the prominence for several days before the eruption was estimated. It was found, that different parts of the prominence were located at different heights

•The height at which magnetic decay index reached critical value are in agreement with the height where prominence lost its equilibrium

•At higher altitudes magnetic field continues to decrease sufficiently rapidly, that is in agreement with average speed of the produced CME