

northumbria Particle Acceleration in 3D magnetic islands and their diagnostics from the heliosphere

Valentina Zharkova and Qian Xia

University of Northumbria, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Zharkova and Gordobskyy, 2004, ApJ; 2005a, b MNRAS & SSRv; Zharkova et al, 2011, SSRv; Siversky and Zharkova, 2009, JPP; Zharkova and Agapitov, 2009, JPP; Zharkova and Khabarova, 2012, Khabarova et al, 2015, Zharkova & Khabarova, 2015; Zharkova and Siversky, 2016

Xia and Zharkova, 2018

Wind MEL and SWE data 1 minute resolution GSE coordinates



Zharkova & Khabarova, 2012 IMP magnitude sharply drops or increases

Horizontal component(Bx, <u>CSE) = 0 nT;</u>

Azimuthal angle  $(\varphi_B)$  changes by 180°;

Velocity is slightly increased

Density is sharply

Wind MFI and SWE data, 1 minute resolution, GSE coordinates



#### In the heliosphere IMP magnitude sharply

drops or increases

Horizontal component(Bx, GSE) = 0 nT;

Azimuthal angle ( $\varphi_B$ ) changes by 180°;

Velocity is slightly increased Density is sharply increased

# Beta is also sharply increased

#### Problems in identification of sector boundaries



a) IMP magnitude; b) IMP horizontal component (Bx, GSE); c) IMP azimuthal angle  $(\varphi_B)$ ;

d-f) Spectrograms of electron distribution in pitch angles (in 5 energy channels)

Kahler, S., and R. P. Lin (1994),, Geophys. Res. Lett., 21, 1575–1578. Crooker, N. U., S. W. Kahler, D. E. Larson, and R. P. Lin (2004), J. Geophys. Res., 109, A03108.

#### Problems in identification of sector boundaries



IMP magnitude, density and beta are quasi-symmetric with respect to the day of sector boundary crossing while the ion velocity is not (?!)

Averaged magnitude obtained for 1322 case from the list by L.Svalgaard, 1964 – 2011, OMNI2).

## Problem 1:

Why the electron pitch angle is changed by 180° occurs well before or after the sector boundary (SB)?

### Problem 2:

Why the density, magnetic field and beta are quasi symmetric across the SB while the ion (proton) velocity profiles are not?

#### Problem 3:

Why the plasma density has three symmetric peaks across the SB: the larger central one and two smaller ones from each sides

## ICME current sheets

W B Manchester IV et al Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 56 (2014)



#### Magnetic reconnection

#### a) at the leading edge of an ICME;

b) behind the ICME

Sometimes Energetic particles stream perpendicular to IMF Electrons travels towards the Sun



Problem 4: What makes particles to move perpendicular to the IMF in a vicinity of ICME

<u>Problem 5 :</u> Why there is asymmetry in motion of ion and electron flux about ICMEs? Why high energy strahls of electrons are often observed in anti-parallel direction?



## Physical model of current sheet (LICS or front ICME)



Zharkova and Khabarova, 2012, ApJ, 752, 35; 2015, Ann Geo, 33, 457 • Consider the HCS with ongoing a magnetic reconnection, which creates a reconnection electric field

• current sheet thickness ~ proton gyroradius (10<sup>4</sup> km for HCS, as  $B_0$  is reduced to from 10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-9</sup> T)

•Model region - 10-100 proton gyroradii from the both sides of the HCS

 Consider plasma feedback to presence of accelerated particles – induced electric and magnetic fields

# Physical model for PIC



Plasma feedback in 2.5D PIC simulations (Verboncouer & Gladd, 1995) •  $\partial E/\partial t = c^2 \bigtriangledown xB - 1/\epsilon_0 (J_e + J_p)$ •  $\partial B/\partial t = \bigtriangledown x E$ 



 $d_e \equiv L^{-1}(c/\omega_{pe})$  Electron's skin depth

 $d_i = L^{-1}(c / \omega_{pi})$  lon's skin depth



#### Zharkova& Agapitov 2009, JPP, 75/2, 159

## Single X-nullpoint Polarization electric field



#### In RCS with single X-nullpoint $n = 10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}$ Electrons and protonss are separated with respect to RCS midplane $B_0 = 100$ $B_y = 1$ Energy distributions of ejected particles



-1.5

-1

-0.5

0

theta, radians

0.5

1

1.5

#### Heliospheric current sheet density = 10-100 cm<sup>-3</sup>



# **PIC: electron distribution in RCS**



# Polarization electric field $E_x$ across current sheet ( $B_0$ =10<sup>-2</sup> T)





Secondary density peaks about the sector boundary
acceleration of transit and bounced protons







Disagreement of the HCS SB (midplane) and electron turn				
No	Event	Distance D from	Density,	$B_z$ , nT
		the main SB, km	$cm^{-3}$	GSE
1	24-26 June 2004	$4.0\cdot 10^6$	11	3.5
2	10-13 July 2010	$5.8\cdot 10^7$	22	6.0
3	28-31 May 1995	$4.0\cdot 10^7$	36	8.0



#### Polarisation electric field guides motion of ions and protons



#### Future work: re-acceleration of particles in magnetic islands



Particle acceleration associated with islands near the HCS (after - ICME event)

Khabarova, Zank, Li, le Roux, Webb, Dosch, ApJ 2015,



The trajectories of the electrons and protons in magnetic islands with different magnetic topologies. (c) has an open field topology at |z|>10.

The ejection directions of the particles are compared with the ones in a single X-nullpoint.

#### Xia and Zharkova, 2018, A&A



Left panels: test particle orbits (in blue lines); right panels: corresponding energy gains versus zcoordinate



b)

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.2

0.0

Ey/Ey0

(b)

--- S

-200

-100

0

Z

-300

Xia and Zharkova, 2018, A&A

a) The plasma inflow (green arrows) and outflow (black arrows) directions in a coalescent magnetic island chain.

The solid orange lines show the magnetic field in the X–Z plane [Fadeev et al. 1965].

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_z = -\frac{\sinh(x/d)}{\cosh(x/d) + \epsilon \cos(kz/d)} B_0, \\ B_x = -\frac{\epsilon \sin(kz/d)}{\cosh(x/d) + \epsilon \cos(kz/d)} B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$



200

100

The blue dash line and red dash-dot line show the  $E_y$  from coalescent and squashed islands on the midplane.

$$C: E_y = E_{y0}\cos(\frac{kz}{2d})\cos^2(\frac{kz}{4d})$$

 $S: E_y = E_{y0}[0.6 + 0.4\cos(z/d)]$ 

## e) - Particle acceleration in magnetic islands -TP, Xia&Zharkova, 2018, A&A



## PIC – turbulence generation (see the talks before and after)

#### Induced electric field E<sub>x</sub>

# Test particle trajectories in this field



# Conclusions

- Acceleration of particles in 3D current sheets (either with single X or multiple X- and O-nullpoints) strongly depends on a magnetic field topology
- For strong guiding field it leads to separation of electrons from protons with respect to the midplane (corona, or sector boundary - SB in the HCS)
- This separation leads to formation of polarisation of electric field across current sheet 2 orders of magnitude larger than reconnection electric field
- Magnetic field imposes different pitch-angle distributions and energy spectra of particles entering a current sheet from the opposite (transit) and the same side (bounced).
- Proton beams are often ejected well directed at 30-40° to the Bz while electrons have wider pitch angle distributions
- 3D RCSs with multiple O-nullpoints (magnetic islands still reveals the separation of electrons from protons to the opposite semiplanes of X. In some conditions electronsprior ejection are accelerated to subrelativistic energies in a single magnetic island, in other they pass through a few islands while forming clouds about neighbouring X-nullpoints.
- Particle energy gains in coalescent islands are lower than in squashed ones; the higher is squashed island aspect ratio the higher is gained energy.
- Proton and electrons accelerated in islands still have populations of transit and bounced particles leading to two beam instabilities often reported in observations and PIC models