

Working Group 3 (Simulation) Progress Report

Dusan Odstrcil and Fang Shen

with contribution from: Camilla Scolini, Christine Verbeke, Tibor Torok



Hvar, Croatia, September 24-28, 2018

International Study of Earth-affecting Solar Transients (ISEST)

The main ISEST objective is to *"improve the scientific understanding of the origin and propagation of solar transients, and develop the prediction capacity of these transients' arrival and potential impact on the Earth"*.

Working Groups (WG):

WG1 — Data

WG2 — Theory

WG3 — Simulation

WG4 — Campaign study

WG5 — Bs challenge

WG6 — SEPs

WG7 — Min/Max

WG3 Simulation — Scientific Objectives

Group leaders: *Fang Shen (Sigma Group)* and *Dusan Odstrcil (GMU/GSFC)*;
At-large leaders: *Noe Lugaz (UNH)* and *Chin-Chun Wu (NRL)*

- (1) Provide global context for all CME events investigated by the ISEST team.
- (2) Investigate processes of the CME initiation, heliospheric propagation, and CMEs interaction
- (3) Develop tools to assist collaboration of numerical modelers, theoreticians, and observers



Numerical Models — ISEST & *Research Applications*

- (1) ENLIL (Sumerian god of wind and storms) model: 1Rs-21.5Rs, WSA (Wang-Sheeley-Arge), transients: hydrodynamic ejecta (Cone or Rope geometry), heliosphere >21.5 Rs – 3D MHD model
- (2) COIN-TVD (Corona-Interplanetary Total Variation Diminishing): 1Rs-beyond 1AU: 3D MHD model, transients: magnetized plasma blob model
- (3) H3DMHD: 1RS-21.5RS, HAF (Hakamada-Akasofu-Fry) model > 21.5 RS, 3D MHD model
- (4) SWMF (Space Weather Modeling Framework, BATSRUS): 1Rs-beyond 1AU: 3D MHD model, transient: transients: analytic magnetic flux rope
- (5) EUHFORIA (European Heliospheric Forecasting Information Asset): 0.1-2 AU, 3D MHD model, transients: cone, flux-rope, spheromak
- (6) *MAS (Magnetohydrodynamic Algorithm outside a Sphere), coronal thermodynamics and heliospheric code and h, > 1Rs, transients: balanced Titov-Demoulin flux-rope)*
- (7) *MS-FLUKSS (Multi-Scale FLUID-Kinetic Simulation Suite):*

ENLIL — Background Solar Wind — Calibration

old: "a3b1"

new: "a8b1"




- Delivered: beginning of the solar cycle with limited calibration
- Motivation: ensure robustness & reasonable accuracy during the upcoming solar cycle maximum

- Revised calibration with 2007-2016 (WSA) and 2010-2016 (CME-“cone”) data & larger robustness experience
- Using “mrzqs” instead of “mrbqs” GONG data


Simulation Results: CR2053 (from 2007-02-04 to 2007-03-04)

(Fang et al., JSWSC 2018)

Distributions at the lower boundary




Distributions at 1AU



At 1 AU, there is a left shift of $\sim 50^\circ$ in longitude, which reflects the coronating effect. A few compression and rarefaction regions forms due to interactions of the fast and slow speed streams

In the heliospheric equatorial and meridional plane




High-density CIRs between fast and slow solar wind streams, can be clearly recognized. Near the north and south poles, high-speed wind dominates. However, there is a mix of slow and fast winds at all latitudes.

Simulation Results: CR2053 (from 2007-02-04 to 2007-03-04)

(Fang et al., JSWSC 2018)


Modeled and observed profiles of solar wind parameters at 1AU



The ambient solar wind without transient events — an accurate speed boundary condition is very important for predicting the magnetic field strength.

Space Weather at Venus During the Forthcoming BepiColombo Flybys (McKenna-Lawlor et al., PSS 2018)

Driving the background solar wind by IPS data — alternative to magnetograms (WSA)




ENLIL-lowres


HELIO WEATHER

- No ICME on 2011-09-27 in Richardson & Cane caused by poor ACE data
- The 2011-09-24 CME arrives at Earth similarly on 09-27 for all cases

Effect of the Initial Shape of CMEs (Scolini et al., 2018)



Opening angle at two different times: $0 < t < t_{1/2}$ (top row) and $t_{1/2} < t < t_{tot}$ (bottom row) in the case of a sphere crossing a planar boundary as defined by two different equations








Number density at Mercury, Earth, STA, and STB, as function of time (saved with a 10-min cadence). The curves refer to the different configurations

Launching Hydrodynamic Ejecta — Original Possibilities




Single-perspective
SOHO observations

Running Difference

time=12:02:31 r=15.8 \lonw=50 \lon=1.00 \lat=3.00




- Observed quantities: angular extent (“r_{major}), directional latitude (“lat”) and longitude (“lon”), and speed (“v_{cld}”)
- Model-free parameters: density (“d_{cld}), temperature (“t_{cld}), radial extent (“x_{cld}”)




Verification of Real-time WSA-ENLIL+Cone Simulations of CME Arrival-time at the CCMC from 2010 to 2016 (*Wold et al., JSWSC 2018*)



Average Absolute Error at Earth, STEREO A & B



CME arrival time error vs CME input speed




CME arrival time percent error vs CME input speed



Launching Hydrodynamic Ejecta — New Possibilities


Multi-perspective STEREO observations




Ejecta/Driver vs Shock

Running Difference

Absolute Values




Graduated Cylindrical Shell (GCS) model





3D Shape and Direction


2012-12-07 CME event



ICME Arrival Time — Effect of “dcld” and “xcld” for “dfast”




Launching a Magnetic Flux-Rope at Corona





- The same 2^0 resolution as for cone model simulations – faster-than-real time
- Further development is needed

Launching Magnetic Structures

Flux Rope



Spheromak



Numerical diffusion/erosion makes them even more similar (Savani)

CME Event 2013-07-12 — bthe2e4

2013-07-12T00:00



EARTH

2013-07-09T00 + 3.000 days

(a) Ecliptic plane

(b) Meridional plane


(c) Temporal profiles



CME Event 2012-07-12 — btot2e4


2012-07-15T00:00

(a) Ecliptic plane




EARTH

(b) Meridional plane



2012-07-12T00 + 3.000 days

(c) Temporal profiles



IBI (nT) 0 5 10 15 20 25 30

IMF CME

IMF polarity - +



HCS

CME

sim obs

Launching a Spheromak — Boundary Conditions — 2012-07-12



2012-07-12T22:32 2012-07-12T00 + 0.93 days



ENLIL-medres + GONGb-WSAdU + Cone / a1207b1 / d4t1v1300r65x0p020q

HelioWeather

2012-07-12T22:32 2012-07-12T00 + 0.93 days



ENLIL-medres + GONGb-WSAdU + Spheromak / a1207b1 / d1t01v1300r65x0p020q-b5000d1101r07p350p

HelioWeather

EUHFORIA: Introduction

Newly developed heliospheric 3D MHD model

Magnetogram:
GONG



Solar wind model:
Semi-empirical



Heliosphere model:
Time-dependent
3D MHD

Coronagraph
Imagery + others



CME model:
- Cone model
- Flux rope model




Observational data

Empirical / data-driven models

0.1 AU





Physics-based model

2 AU



EUHFORIA: Spheromak CME

Flux rope modeled as Linear Force Free Spheromak



CME kinematics
Cone model



- Start time of CME
- Propagation velocity of CME
- Latitude of centre of CME source region
- Longitude of centre of CME source region
- Half-width of CME
- Density of CME
- Temperature of CME
- Title angle of the CME
- Helicity of the CME
- Total toroidal flux




Flux rope
parameters

EUHFORIA: Spheromak CME: parameter study

Multiple parameters studied - One example shown:

Variation in longitude of centre of CME




- Small changes in input parameters can have large influence on B , v and ρ and thus the impact of the CME at Earth
- Input parameters all have their errors
- We need ensemble runs for flux rope CME simulations

Spheromak vs cone model: predictions @ L1

July 12 2012 CME

ISEST WG4 Event 1




CME simulated using observation-based parameters


- **CME arrival time and peak density/speed** well reproduced by both models
 - Magnetospheric compression
- **IMF rotations:** well reproduced with spheromak
- **Min Bz prediction** improved by **+40%** using spheromak compared to cone
 - Dayside reconnection & geomagnetic activity

AWSoM+SWMF Codes: Chromosphere to 1 AU Simulation of the 2011-03-07 Event (*Jin et al., ApJ 2011*)


Inserting a magnetic flux-rope




Propagation in the heliosphere



Observed and synthetic white-light images




Temporal profiles at STEREO-A




MS-FLUKSS Code: A Data-constrained Model for CMEs Using the Graduated Cylindrical Shell Method *(Singh et al., ApJ 2018)*

CME shown using temperature contours.




CME shown using magnetic field lines of flux rope.




Sun-to-Earth MHD Simulation of the 14 July 2000 “Bastille Day” Eruption

Torok et al (2018)

Source-Region Energization & Eruption Initiation



- Modified Titov-Démoulin (TDm) model: construct force-free flux ropes in an arbitrary (locally bipolar) ambient field.
- Use 7 overlapping TDm ropes to build elongated, curved stable flux rope inserted into background corona
- Converging flows to trigger eruption.





stable FR \rightarrow self-consistent CME speed \rightarrow simulation possible prior to eruption

Sun-to-Earth MHD Simulation of the 14 July 2000 “Bastille Day” Eruption

Torok et al (2018)



Heliospheric Simulation of the Bastille Day ICME (20-235 R_⊙)




ICME propagation in equatorial plane

- Propagate CME to 1 AU: Coupling coronal to heliospheric MHD code
- No noticeable deflection by Parker IMF spiral
- ICME shape distorted by non-uniform solar wind
- Post-eruption jet due to the current sheet reconnection

ICME Magnetic Structure



view from Earth



Yurchyshyn et al. (2001)

- flux-rope core (MC) splits into two loops
- MC arrives about $(15-20)^\circ$ north of Ecliptic

Summary

- More numerical models has been developed and applied for heliospheric space weather
- Many interesting studies were realized to analyze specific events and to provide a global context
- Numerical models are continuously improving and their validation becomes more and more important
- Coordinated effort via the ISEST, CCMC/Scoreboard, etc., is becoming more and more beneficial