Different Techniques for (and Some Success In) Measurement of Bs

B.V. Jackson H.-S. Yu, P.P. Hick, A. Buffington,

Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, University of California at San Diego, LaJolla, CA, USA

M. Tokumaru, Ken'ichi Fujiki

Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research (ISEE), Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

D. Odstrcil

George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA and NASA/GSFC M/C 674, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

M.M. Bisi

RAL Space, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, Oxfordshire, UK

J. Kim, J Yun

Korean Space Weather Center, National Radio Research Agency, 198-6, Gwideok-ro, Hallim-eup, Jeju-si, Jeju-do, 695-922 South Korea

http://ips.ucsd.edu/

http://smei.ucsd.edu/



The reasons to determine Bz

Concept studies: How we may be able to determine Bz

- 1) In CMEs.
- 2) In the background solar wind

Some successes in determining Bz

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bs



The reasons to determine Bz

Concept studies: How we may be able to determine Bz

- 1) In CMEs.
- 2) In the background solar wind

Some successes in determining Bz

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bs



The reasons to determine Bz

Concept studies: How we may be able to determine Bz

- 1) In CMEs.
- 2) In the background solar wind

Some successes in determining Bz

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Why Determine Bz?

Geomagnetic Substorm Activity

A brief (2-3 hour) disturbance in Earth's magnetosphere that releases energy from the tail of the magnetosphere causing particles to be injected into the high latitude ionosphere.

Geomagnetic Storm Activity

A series of substorms, or a strong or prolonged enhancement of the global magnetospheric electric field.

Both cause radio communication difficulties, particle precipitation into the ionosphere, aurora, satellite damage, ground-based currents, etc.,

<u>A southward IMF is a common factor in the occurrence</u> of both storms and substorms. **Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz**

Generally the largest storms and substorms are associated with CMEs

Two factors in CMEs are important.

1) Southward Bz.

2) Dynamic pressure caused by high speed wind.

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz CMES

Fm N. Gopalswamy

Motivation

 All CMEs reaching 1-AU seem to have flux rope structure (irrespective of observed MC or non-MC structure of ICMEs)



Mouschovias and Poland, 1978

Both geometrical and magnetic properties of a coronal flux rope can be obtained from eruption data (post-eruption arcade, LOS magnetogram, white-light CME) under the assumption of cylindrical force-free flux rope

Background

- If CME flux ropes are formed during eruption, then the total reconnected (RC) flux (Φ_r) is same as the poloidal flux (Φ_p) of the resulting FR: Φ_r ≈ Φ_p (Longcope et al. 2007; Qiu et al. 2007; Hu et al. 2014; Gopalswamy et al. 2017)
- Φ_r can be computed using the photospheric B underlying cumulative ribbon area (Longcope et al. 2007; Qiu et al. 2007; Kazachenko et al. 2017)
- Φ_r can also be computed in a simpler way as half the flux underlying post eruption arcades (Gopalswamy et al. 2017)

- Coronal flux rope can be constructed using Φ_r and CME flux rope fit
- CME and flare properties closely related to Φ_r
- Coronal flux ropes can be tracked and compared with 1-au flux ropes



AR Flux vs. RC Flux

About 28% of AR flux is reconnected in an eruption



CME Speed and Kinetic Energy



One event (2000 October 2) was excluded from the correlations because the arcade was ill-defined. Diamonds – no mass data, so 10¹⁶ g assumed

- Both speed and kinetic energy of the CMEs are well correlated with the RC Flux (r = 0.60, 0.69)
- The higher the RC Flux, the faster are the CMEs
 - KE has the second highest correlation with RC flux (the highest was with soft X-ray flare fluence)
- True when the CMEs ended up as MCs or ejecta

FR Properties at 1 au and 10 Rs are Correlated



The relation between RC flux and ICME poloidal flux confirms previous results obtained just for MCs The relation between the axial field at the Sun obtained from eruption data and that from flux rope fit agree quite well; the correlation is better when actual peak ICME field is used.















Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz M. L. Mays & A. Taktakishvili **CMES**

Cone model parameters



Input to ENLIL cone model run

- time when cloud is at 21.5 Rs
- Latitude
- Longitude
- half-width
- Vr radial velocity

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz M. L. Mays & A. Taktakishvili **CMES**

WSA-ENLIL Cone Model



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz M. L. Mays & A. Taktakishvili **CMES**

Determination of the CME Arrival Time – clear arrival



2015-03-17T12:00

Timing and Field Amplitude sometimes good (state of the art)



2015-03-14T00 +3.50 days

Flux Rope vs Spheromak — Similar Magnetic Field Profiles



Numerical diffusion/erosion makes them even more similar (Savani)

Simulated CME Events

date (yyyy-mm-dd)	2010-04-03	2012-07-12	2013-07-09
time (hh:mm)	15:00	16:54	15:09
lat (deg)	-25	-13	2
lon (deg)	0	6	-10
rmajor (deg)	40	55	40
vcld (km/s)	812	830	500
tilt (deg)	330	280	315
bcav (nT)	2500	3000	2500
hcav (-1 1)	1	1	-1
ncld (2 3)	2	2	2
dcld (x/dfast)	1	1	1
tcld (x/tfast)	1	1	1
radcav (x/rmajor)	0.8	0.8	0.8
dcav (x/dfast)	1	1	1
tcav (x/tfast)	1	1	1

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Fm Dusan Odstrcil CMES

CME Event 2010-04-03 — Boundary Conditions



CME Event 2012-07-12 — Boundary Conditions



CME Event 2013-07-09 — Boundary Changes



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Fm Dusan Odstrcil CMES

2010-04-03 CME — "Cone" vs "Spheromak"



Different Techniques for Measurement of BzFm Dusan OdstrcilCMES2010-04-03 CME— "Cone" vs "Spheromak" density





Density



Velocity



Magnetic Field B_θ

IPS Heliospheric Analyses ISEE (STELab)



ISEE IPS array near Mt. Fuji

ISEE IPS array systems

IPS Heliospheric Analyses ISEE (STELab)





Jackson, B.V., et al., 2011, Adv. in Geosciences, 30, 93-115.

http://ips.ucsd.edu/ or http://ips.ucsd.edu/ips_workshop_2016/ UCSD Prediction Analyses



Web analysis runs "automatically" using Linux on a P.C.

UCSD

pages

Web



UCSD Prediction

(http://ips.ucsd.edu/high_resolution_predictions)

Today's 21 UT Analysis from ISEE



2017/09/17 11:47 UT

g−level

CASS/UCSD

g-level

2017/09/18 21 UT Ecl N 2017/09/18 09 UT Ecl N 2017/09/18 15 UT ISEE ISEE ISEE 1.9 Ecl N 1.9 1.9 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 .1.3 .1.3 1.3 F F 1.1 1.1 1.1 .0.9 .0.9 .0.9 0.7 0.7 .0.7 Ecl S Ecl S Ecl S 11:47 AM - 17 Sep 2017 g−level CASS/UCSD a-level CASS/UCSD CASS/UCSD g-level 2017/09/19 03 UT Ecl N 2017/09/19 09 UT Ecl N 2017/09/19 21 UT Ecl N ISEE ISEE ISEE 2017/09/19 15 UT ISEE 1.9 .9 .9 1.9 Ecl N 1.7 1,7 1.7 1.7 .1.5 1.5 1.5 .1.5 .1.3 1.3 1.3 .1.3 Ε F .1.1 .1.1 1.1 .1.1 .0.9 .0.9 .0.9 0.9 .0.7 .0.7 .0.7 .0.7 Ecl S Ecl S Ecl S Ecl S

CASS/UCSD

g-level

Backside halo CME sequence

CASS/UCSD ISEST Bz 2017

CASS/UCSD

g−level

CASS/UCSD

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

More Details

Magnetic Field

(Zhao, X. P. and Hoeksema, J. T., 1995, J. Geophys. Res., 100 (A1), 19.)



Source surface B, field component sample 1. Inner region: the CSSS model calculates the magnetic field using





Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

(Jackson, B.V., et al., 2015, ApJL, 803:L1. 1- 5, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/803/1/L1.)



Extrapolated B_n closed field component for CR 2056





3-D reconstructions of Carrington rotation (CR) 2121 showing a CME of interest from 07 March 2012 launched around 01:00UT.

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Heliospheric Remote Sensing $\phi = \lambda^2 \int n_e \overline{B} \cdot d\overline{s}$



The same period extracted from CR2121 comparing IPS *g*-level fisheye sky map (left) with that of reconstructed RM from ambient magnetic fields (right) perturbed by the CME.

Oberoi and Lonsdale, 2012, *Radio Science*, 47, RS0K08, doi:10.1029/2012RS004992. Faraday rotation signals at low frequency



Fm Mario Bisi



AST RON



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Heliospheric Remote Sensing © 2017 RAL Space Fm Mario Bisi Heliospheric FR Observations (3)

Time	Observed RM	Ion RM	Total RM
13:00	3.12722 ± 0.02	2.48140 ± 0.12264	0.64582 ± 0.12426
13:20	2.72809 ± 0.02	2.56207 ± 0.13366	0.16602 ± 0.13515
13:40	2.97102 ± 0.02	2.49809 ± 0.12492	0.47293 ± 0.10598
14:00	$3.06306 \pm$	2.48251 ± 0.14120	$0.58055 \pm$
14:20	$3.10321 \pm$	2.46633 ± 0.12987	$0.63688 \pm$
14:40	3.12737 ± 0.04	2.47916 ± 0.13886	0.64821 ± 0.14451
15:00	2.99077 ± 0.02	2.37482 ± 0.11960	0.61595 ± 0.12126

• Using simple dimensional-analysis calculations:

 $RM = 0.002 \text{ x ne}[cm^{-3}] \text{ x B}[nT] \text{ x L}[AU]^{\circ} m^{-2}$, where L is the contributing integration length along the LOS, we get a maximum expected RM value: $RM = 0.002 \text{ x } 669 \text{ x } 150 \text{ x } 0.25 = 50.2^{\circ} \text{ m}^{-2}$ (or 0.928 rad m⁻²); perhaps high – but is very much in line with the LOFAR preliminary heliospheric RM values.

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Heliospheric Remote Sensing Interstellar Medium Variations Fm Richard Fallows



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz Fm Richard Fallows Heliospheric Remote Sensing RM Calculations – Current Estimates



Measured RM (ISM subtracted) Calculated Ionospheric RM

RM difference

Modelling and number checking efforts underway indicate that the resulting difference in RM, assumed to be due to the heliosphere, is in the ballpark for that expected from the passage of this CME.

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

In the meantime

Choi et al., JGR, 122, 4921, doi: 10.1002/2016JA023836

"...for substorms of weak to medium...even the weakly (in terms of either intensity or duration) southward IMF Bz should be considered significant..."

Magnetic Field Russell - McPherron Effect "Daily Implementation"

Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz GSM coordinates

(Russell, C. T., and McPherron, R. L., 1973, J. Geophys. Res., 78 (1), 92.)

Ł



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz GSM coordinates

(Russell, C. T., and McPherron, R. L., 1973, J. Geophys. Res., 78 (1), 92.)



$$B_r(r,\phi,\theta) = B(r_0,\phi_0,\theta_0) \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^2$$
$$B_\phi(r,\phi,\theta) = -B(r) \left(\frac{\omega r_0 \sin(\theta)}{V}\right) \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)$$

 $B_{\theta}(r,\phi,\theta)=0$













Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

ACE and IPS-derived Bz Pearson's R Correlation per Carrington Rotation in GSM Coordinates over Ten Years



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz



(Russell, C. T., and McPherron, R. L., 1973, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 78 (1), 92.)

10 years of ACE data

Bz Amplitude in GSM coordinates

10 years of GONG data – IPS extrapolation



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz UCSD Prediction Analyses - 2016 Study Dst vs GSM Bz (CR 2083) Kp vs GSM Bz



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

"Can't possibly be right" - a good NOAA colleague



Different Techniques for Measurement of Bz

GSM Bz UCSD current prediction



Updated 2017 Sep 20 00:30:03 UTC

